

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/507,403	12/09/2004	Karlheinz Horsting	DNAG-288	4882	
24972 FULBRIGHT	7590 05/21/2008 & JAWORSKI, LLP	3	EXAMINER		
666 FIFTH AVE			DANIELS, MATTHEW J		
NEW YORK,	NY 10103-3198		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1791		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/21/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/507,403 HORSTING ET AL. Office Action Summary

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	MATTHEW J. DANIELS	1791					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REFL. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DV - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 - If NO period for reply is appecified above, the maximum statutory period in the property of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 - Failure to reply within the size or extended period for reply with by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing aemed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(4p).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim- till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. tely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 No	ovember 2004.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 29-59 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 29-59 are subject to restriction and/or	8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-59</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)	0 🗖 Interview 2	(DTO 440)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/05)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application					

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

6) Other: _____.

Art Unit: 1791

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Group I, claim(s) 29-40 and 52-59, drawn to processes (Class 264).

Group II, claim(s) s 41-51, drawn to an article (Class 428).

- In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.
- 4. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The special technical feature between the groups appears to be the forming of a non-woven fabric reinforcement impregnated with resin by forming a structure which resembles a textile with the fiber orientation being matched to an intended loading, the process comprising drawing the fabric from a roll and cutting it to size after impregnation. However, this special technical feature is anticipated by Broadway, who teaches forming a non-woven fabric reinforcement (Figs. 1A, 3A) at least partially impregnated with resin (10:23-31, 12:35-40) and forming the structure with fibers matched for uniaxial loading or biaxial loading (Fig. 1A, 3A), the process comprising withdrawing the fabric from a roll (either 94 or the roll prior to item 92) and cutting it (15:1-4). Therefore, in view of the Broadway reference, the claims lack unity of invention.

Art Unit: 1791

5. Also see DE 10005202, which provides a roll (Fig. 1), a carbon fiber material which resembles a textile (3, 4) and is formed to have a particular orientation (Fig. 1). Cutting and impregnation would have been obvious in view of the objective of the reference to provide for honeycombs, foams, and sandwich structures. For example, Kubomora teaches impregnation and cutting (col. 10). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the cutting and impregnation of Kubomora in the DE 10005202 process in order to provide for discreet articles bonded together, as suggested by DE 10005202. Therefore, in view of the DE 10005202 reference in view of Kubomora, the claims lack unity of invention

- 6. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
 - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
 - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
 - (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
 - (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;

Art Unit: 1791

(e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112. first paragraph.

- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 8. The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.
- If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.
- 10. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- A telephone call was made to James Crawford on 16 May 2008 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 1791

12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MATTHEW J. DANIELS whose telephone number is (571)272-2450. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00 am -4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Matthew J. Daniels/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791 5/19/08